

### **ILEITIS: THE SILENT THIEF**

LEONARDO ELLERMA, DVM, DipPCSP Regional Technical Director – APSA

MSD Animal Health



# CONTENT

- Background
- The economic impact
- Porcilis Lawsonia
- Field data



# BACKGROUND



## lleitis – The disease





- Caused by the *Lawsonia intracellularis* bacteria, which causes damage to the small intestine.
- 3 FORMS:
  - SUBCLINICAL FORM (75% with PIA)
  - CHRONIC FORM (PIA)



- 2 most commonly found form
- No apparent clinical signs
- Can reduce Average Daily Gain by 38% and Feed Conversion Rate by 27%
- Can cause damage even with the use of antibiotics

Holtkamp D. *Economic Losses Associated with Ileitis*. MSD Animal Health; Kenilworth, NJ, USA: 2019.

• ACUTE FORM (PHE)





## Worldwide Prevalence

> Porcine Health Manag. 2019 Dec 17:5:31. doi: 10.1186/s40813-019-0137-6. eCollection 2019.

# Prevalence of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in pig herds in different European countries

Mirjam Arnold <sup>1</sup>, Annelies Crienen <sup>2</sup>, Hanny Swam <sup>2</sup>, Stephan von Berg <sup>3</sup>, Rika Jolie <sup>4</sup>, Heiko Nathues <sup>1</sup>

Affiliations + expand PMID: 31890255 PMCID: PMC6918559 DOI: 10.1186/s40813-019-0137-6

> ORIGINAL RESEARCH article Front. Vet. Sci., 07 February 2024 Sec. Veterinary Infectious Diseases Volume 11 - 2024 | https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1324768

## Fecal PCR survey and genome analysis of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in China

Lei Wang<sup>1,2,3</sup> Wenging Wu<sup>1,2</sup> Lifeng Zhao<sup>1,2</sup> Zhanwei Zhu<sup>1,2</sup> Xinzhi Yao<sup>4</sup> Jie Fan<sup>1,2</sup> Hongjian Chen<sup>1,2</sup> Wenbo Song<sup>1,2</sup> Xi Huang<sup>1,2</sup> Lin Hua<sup>1,2</sup> Zhong Peng<sup>1,2,5</sup> Bin Wu<sup>1,2\*</sup> Ping Qian<sup>1,2</sup> Huanchun Chen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

<sup>2</sup> The Cooperative Innovation Center for Sustainable Pig Production, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China <sup>3</sup> Guangxi YangXiang Co., Ltd., Guigang, China



<sup>4</sup> College of Informatics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Agricultural Bioinformatics, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China
<sup>5</sup> Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, China

- Present in all major swine regions around the world and in most farms
- Often masked by antibiotic use





5



# AU PREVALENCE

#### Australian VETERINARY JOURNAL

**PRODUCTION ANIMALS** 

### SHORT CONTRIBUTION

### Prevalence of antibodies to Lawsonia intracellularis in pig herds in Australia

PK Holyoake,<sup>a\*</sup> D Emery,<sup>b</sup> J Gonsalves,<sup>c</sup> M Donahoo<sup>b</sup> and A Collins Table 2. Predicted within-herd seroprevalence (%) results from ELISA testing for *Lawsonia intracellularis* antibodies, stratified by state and herd size

т

State			Herd size category (no	o. of sows)		
	0-99		100–499		500+	
	Predicted mean	SE	Predicted mean	SE	Predicted mean	SE
New South Wales	83.8	10.1	83.8	8.4	80	10.2
Queensland	90.4	5.4	90.3	4.9	88	4.7
South Australia	87.4	7.5	87.3	6.3	84.3	6.5
Victoria/Tasmania	84	8.6	83.9	7	80.1	5.8
Western Australia	75	10.2	74.9	9.7	69.6	9.4

SE, standard error.

The results of this study confirm that PE is endemic in pig herds in Australia. Recent research suggests that antibiotics are prescribed routinely by veterinarians to control this disease.<sup>13</sup> Results of a study of naturally occurring PE in three herds suggested that clinically normal 10- to 25-week-old grower/finisher pigs were the source of *L. intracellularis* infection for younger weaner pigs and that faecal shedding may occur despite the use of 10 to 100 g/tonne of tylosin or 10 g/tonne of chlortetracycline in the pigs' feed.<sup>14</sup> Our results suggest that medication strategies used in the herds tested allowed infection and therefore seroconversion to *L. intracellularis*. Cost effective strategies to reduce reliance on antibiotics, such as vaccination and/or all-in/all-out pig flow coupled with cleaning and disinfection of pens, are warranted.





## Is Ileitis a problem even if I am using antibiotics?

- Antimicrobial sensitivity shows increasing resistance to commonly used antibiotics
- Tylosin; intermediate activity, chlortetracycline had variable results between low and intermediate activity, as well as spectinomycin, and lincomycin, amoxicillin, sulfamethazine and enrofloxacin.
- *L. intracellularis* was resistant to lincomycin, gentamicin, trimethoprim, colistin and bacitracin
- Poor control of ileitis leads to decreased FCR and ADG

Wattanaphansak, S., Pereira, C.E.R., Kaenson, W. *et al.* Isolation and in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of porcine *Lawsonia intracellularis* from Brazil and Thailand. *BMC Microbiol* **19**, 27 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1397-7



## Is Ileitis a problem even if I am using antibiotics?



% Positivity for Lawsonia Intracellularis

### Merin, et. al 2019, APVS 2019





## Intramuscular vaccination against *Lawsonia intracellularis* as a tool to reduce antimicrobial consumption A case study



R. Del Pozo Sacristán, L. Belton, S. Carmichael, I. Potter, R. Pearson

	Treatment	Vaccination & Treatment	Vaccination
# batches	18	26	22
# pigs	4968	7509	6839
Mortality %	0.90 <sup>A</sup>	0.81 <sup>A</sup>	0.57 <sup>B</sup>
ADG	785	885	845
AM Consumption (mg/kg)	64.5	19.3 - 62.3	18.3 - 19.7
Intervention cost (£/pig)	1.84	1.30 - 1.81	1.27 – 1.29



# ECONOMIC IMPACT OF ILEITIS



## ECONOMIC IMPACT OF ILEITIS

### **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

PARAMETER

MORTALITY	
AVERAGE DAILY GAIN	
FEED CONVERTION RATIO	
GROUP VARIABILITY	
DAYS TO MARKET	
TREATMENT COST	
FACILITY USAGE	
BREEDING HERD PERFORMANCE	



## PROFIT



McOrist 2005; Veenhuizen 2002

### ECONOMIC IMPACT OF ILEITIS – Study 1





Armbruster et al, Proceedings of 44<sup>th</sup> AASV, 2013

## ECONOMIC IMPACT OF ILEITIS – Study 2





Holtkamp D. *Economic Losses Associated with Ileitis.* MSD Animal Health; Kenilworth, NJ, USA: 2019.

# THE SOLUTION









Public





## Porcilis Lawsonia: Intramuscular

PORCILIS Lawsonia



Public



Antigen:

Lyophilisate Inactivated Lawsonia

intracellularis strain SPAH-08: ≥ 5323 U

Adjuvant/Diluent:

Lawsonia Solvent or Porcilis Lawsonia in PCV M Hyo



## **Porcilis Lawsonia: Intradermal**

### PORCILIS Lawsonia



Public



### Antigen:



Lyophilisate Inactivated Lawsonia intracellularis strain

SPAH-08: ≥ 5323 U

**Adjuvant/Diluent:** 



Solvent for Porcilis Lawsonia ID



**Porcilis PCV IDAL Vaccine** 







PORCILIS Lawsonia

**21 weeks of immunity**, protecting the pigs throughout the fattening stage.





## Prevention instead of treatment. It may help in the reduction of antibiotics used to treat lleitis.

Porcilis<sup>®</sup> Lawsonia, either as a standalone treatment or used by mixing it with Porcilis<sup>®</sup> PCV M Hyo, induced a statistically significant protection against an experimental infection with *Lawsonia intracellularis*.



• Decreased total farm mortality: from 3.8% to 2.3%

It reduces Lawsonia-associated mortality in vaccinated pigs groups to 0%<sup>3</sup>

Jacobs A.A.C. et al. Efficacy of a novel inactivated *Lawsonia intracellularis* vaccine in pigs against experimental infection and under field conditions. Vaccine 37 (2019) 2149–2157.







### Vaccine with flexibility of use:



**ONE STEP & GO** 

- •Ready to use in a single step.
- •There is no need to use water.
- Each animal receives the exact dose (2 ml).



- •Reducing the use of antibiotics.
- •No interference with feed, water chlorination, antibiotics, etc.
- It can be reconstituted with **Porcilis PCV M hyo** for IM route or Porcilis PCV ID for ID route.



# Does an inactivated vaccine help control ileitis?



### LAWSONIA INTRACELLULARIS. IMMUNITY.

- 1. Adjuvant activates molecules inflammatory process.
- 2. Release cytokines and chemokines = stimulate migration lymphocytes to injection site.
  - IL-8 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine, produced by macrophages.
  - MCP-1 responsible for the migration of monocytes.
  - HMGB-1 is a cytokine mediator, secreted by macrophages, monocytes and dendritic cells.
  - TNF- alpha is an inflammatory cytokine, produced by macrophages, monocytes during acute inflammation.
  - IL-1beta play a central role in the regulation of immune and inflammatory responses.
- 3. Dendritic cells and Macrophage start phagocytosis and processing of the vaccine antigen.
- 4. Dendritic cells migrate from injection site to the regional lymph nodes.
- 5. Presenting soluble vaccine antigen to the B-lymphocytes cells = humoral immunity.
- 6. Recognizing vaccine antigen epitopes by B-lymphocytes cells, selected and activated.
- 6. Dendritic cells present vaccine antigen to the T-helper lymphocytes.
- 7. B-lymphocytes cells undergo second activation by T-helper lymphocytes.
- 8. B-cells begin to replicate after second activation and produced million clones.
- 9. Secreting IgG by B-lymphocytes cells.
- 10. The low-affinity B-lymphocytes cells that fail to recognize vaccine antigen = apoptosis
- 11. B-lymphocytes cells with highest affinity produce anti-Lawsonia Intracellularis antibodies.
- 11. Part of the high affinity B-cells differentiate to the memory cells (14-21 days).
- 12. Immunoglobulins (Ig) translocate to the intestinal lumen.
- 13. Ig's will become trap at mucosal surface by the mucin
- 14. Block Lawsonia Intracellularis to infect the intestinal crypts.





## **Does an inactivated vaccine help control ileitis?**

Public

Jacobs et al. (2019). Efficacy of a novel inactivated Lawsonia intracellularis vaccine in pigs against experimental infection and under field conditions. Vaccine 37, 2149–2157

	<b>TRIAL 1</b> vacc. 4w	TRIAL 2 vacc. 4w	TRIAL 3 vacc. 5w
G1	PL + Solvent	2ml	PL + Porcilis PCV M Hyo 2ml
G2	Oral vaccine 2ml		Oral vaccine x 5w PCV2-M Hyo combo x 3w
G3	Unvaccinated	k	



PORCILIS

Lawsonia

## **Porcilis<sup>®</sup> Lawsonia improved clinical scores**



# Porcilis<sup>®</sup> Lawsonia protected against *LI* by:

Lowering clinical scores;

Public

- Improving weight gain;
- Reducing LI shedding;
- Reducing ileum lesion scores.

Jacobs et al. (2019). Efficacy of a novel inactivated Lawsonia intracellularis vaccine in pigs against experimental infection and under field conditions. Vaccine 37, 2149–2157





### **Results:**

Public

- Daily weight gain;
- Clinical scores;
- Lawsonia intracellularis shedding;
- Macroscopic as well as microscopic ileum lesion scores.

Jacobs et al. (2019). Efficacy of a novel inactivated Lawsonia intracellularis vaccine in pigs against experimental infection and under field conditions. Vaccine 37, 2149–2157

VAG	CCINE GROUP	AVG CLINICAL score <sup>?</sup> /day 13-20	<b>ADWG</b> g/day / day 13-20	<b>PCR FAECES</b> avg log pg DNA/ $\mu$ / day 21	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{PCR MUCOSA} \\ \text{avg log pg DNA/} \mu  /  \text{day 21} \end{array}$
Ч.	PL + Solvent	<b>0.3 ± 1.2</b> <sup>d</sup>	935 ± 306 <sup>d,?</sup>	0.23 ± 0.64	0.18 ± 0.43 <sup>?</sup>
SIAL	Oral vaccine	0.9 ± 2.3 <sup>?</sup>	655 ± 385	0.60 ± 0.82	0.66 ± 0.84
Ħ	Control	4.4 ± 6.5	550 ± 450	0.34 ± 0.62	0.57 ± 0.56
7	PL + Solvent	3.0 ± 5.5	649 ± 751 <sup>d,?</sup>	0.27 ± 0.54	<b>0.71 ± 0.76</b> <sup>d</sup>
SIAL	Oral vaccine	2.8 ± 5.8	-229 ± 1301	0.11 ± 0.38	1.05 ± 0.84
Ħ	Control	5.7 ± 5.5	-655 ± 723	0.46 ± 0.70	1.36 ± 0.57
ŝ	PL + PCV M Hyo	1.5 ± 2.6	1012 ± 302 <sup>d,?</sup>	1.37 ± 1.17 <sup>d,?</sup>	1.10 ± 0.42
RIAL	Oral vaccine	$3.9 \pm 4.4^{\circ}$	549 ± 597	2.43 ± 0.98	$1.10 \pm 0.51$
Ť	Control	1.0 ± 2.9	537 ± 627	2.47 ± 0.78	1.06 ± 0.49



# Field Data



# Field Data



Presented during HQP EU2020, MSD Animal Health

## The approach

Moved from oral ileitis vaccination to IM vaccination with Porcilis Lawsonia

In Denmark switching from oral vaccination to IM in weaning pigs gave us:

A





Increased ADG

+13.26%

Reduced oral medication use in finishing pigs

50% treatments 75% to market





## Economic Benefits – Denmark Case

	NUR	SERY-FINISHING		
Input		BASE	CHANGE	Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284	
Feeds Consumed		5,830,448.30	6,780,311.98	
Weaning Weight		6.4	10.08	
Efficiency				
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	5.00%	
Number of plgs Marketd		24,970	24,970	
Market Weight		93.4	108.62	
ADG		0.592	0.670	13.26%
FCR		2.5	2.500	
Market age		147	147.000	
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.512	0.51	
Total kilos sold		2,332,179	2,712,125	
Cost	per kg***			
## Feed Cost (USD)	1.28	2,985,189,53	3.471.519.73	
## Labor Cost (USD)	0.17	396,470,48	461.061.21	
## Genetic Cost (USD)	0.03	69,965.38	81,363.74	
## Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.06	139,930.76	162,727.49	
## Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.26	606,366.62	705,152.45	
Total Cost (USD)		4,197,922.78	4,881,824.62	
Sales				
ASP/kg (USD)	<u> </u>	1.99	1.99	
Total sales (USD)	<u>↓</u>	4,641,036.85	5,397,128.33	
Net Income	<u>↓</u>			
		443,114.07	515,303.71	72,189.64

Per pig marketed \$

2.89





\*\*\*Based on 2022 MSD Global Benchmarking by Holtkamp (Denmark)

## **Field Data**



Presented during HQP EU2020, MSD Animal Health

# The approach

Vaccination with Porcilis Lawsonia in 14 compartments (=921 boars & 819 gilts) Vaccinated vs non-vaccinated

### Results



 $\langle \rangle$ 

Average additional profit 1.90€/pig



## Economic Benefits – Netherlands Case

### NURSERY-FINISHING

Input		BASE	CHANGE		Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284		
Feeds Consumed		6,554,572.50	6,540,400.65		
Weaning Weight		7.5	7.5		
	1				
Efficiency			0.000	_	
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	3.92%		-21.67%
Number of plgs Marketd		24,970	25,255	_	
Market Weight		105	106.06		
ADG		0.609	0.616	_	1.09%
FCR		2.5	2.442	_	-2.33%
Market age		160	160.000		
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.512	0.52		
Total kilos sold		2,621,829	2,678,571		
Cost	per kg***				
## Feed Cost (USD)	1.28	3,355,941.12	3,428,570.83		
## Labor Cost (USD)	0.14	367,056.06	374,999.93		
## Genetic Cost (USD)	0.03	78,654.87	80,357.13		
## Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.05	131,091.45	133,928.55		
## Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.26	681,675.54	696,428.45		
Total Cost (USD)		4,614,419.04	4,714,284.89		
Sales					
ASP/kg (USD)		2.70	2.70		
Total sales (USD)		7,078,938.30	7,232,141.60		
Net Income					
		2,464,519.26	2,517,856.70		53,337.44
			Den ula manhastari		2 11





\*\*\*Based on 2022 MSD Global Benchmarking by Holtkamp (Netherlands)

# Field Data



### **The Approach**

Comparative trial simultaneously; one group vaccinated with Porcilis Lawsonia and one group un-vaccinated with treatment regimen maintained.

### **Results:**

VN

	ADG (kg)	Weaning weight (kg)	Transfer weight (kg)	Market weight (kg)	Days of feeding in finishing period (day)
Т	0.821 ª	5.68ª	20.95ª	108 ª	106 ª
С	0.772 <sup>b</sup>	6.18 <sup>b</sup>	22.04 <sup>b</sup>	107 <sup>b</sup>	110 в

### 6% improvement in ADG, 1% in market weight





### **Comparative trial**

2955 heads control

2979 heads vaccinated Porcilis Lawsonia

Seroconversion against lawsonia at 17 weeks and up

Antibiotic treatment maintained

Internal Data, MSD Animal Health, Vietnam



# Economic Benefit – Vietnam Case

### NURSERY-FINISHING

Input		BASE	CHANGE	Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284	
Feeds Consumed		6,554,572.50	6,919,755.83	
Weaning Weight		7.5	7.5	
Efficiency				
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	5.00%	
Number of pigs Marketd		24,970	24,970	
Market Weight		105	110.85	
ADG		0.609	0.646	6.00%
FCR		2.5	2.500	
Market age		160	160.000	
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.88	0.88	
Total kilos sold		2,621,829	2,767,902	
Cost	per kg***			
## Feed Cost (USD)	2.2	5,768,023.80	6,089,385.13	
## Labor Cost (USD)	0.08	209,746.32	221,432.19	
## Genetic Cost (USD)	0.06	157,309.74	166,074.14	
## Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.12	314,619.48	332,148.28	
## Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.52	1,363,351.08	1,439,309.21	
Total Cost (USD)		7,813,050.42	8,248,348.94	
Sales				
ASP/kg (USD)		3.19	3.19	
Total sales (USD)		8,363,634.51	8,829,608.43	
Net Income				
		550,584.09	581,259.49	30,675.40

Per pig marketed \$

1.23



# Field Data



### **The Approach**

Comparative trial simultaneously; one group vaccinated with Porcilis Lawsonia and one group un vaccinated.

### Farrow to Finish Farm

700 sow level

16100 finishers annually

Suffering diarrhea in the late finishers

Farm wants to try Lawsonia vaccine

Ellerma, et. al, IPVS ESPHM Proceedings 2024

## Results:

PH

	ADG (kg)	Weaning weight (kg)	Transfer weight (kg)	Market weight (kg)	Days of feeding in finishing period (day)
Т	0.540 <sup>a</sup>	7.59 <sup>a</sup>	36.36 <sup>a</sup>	73.98 <sup>a</sup>	137 <sup>a</sup>
С	0.497 <sup>b</sup>	7.39 <sup>a</sup>	31.16 <sup>b</sup>	68.09 <sup>b</sup>	137 <sup>a</sup>

9% improvement in ADG, 10% in FCR





# Economic Benefit – PH Case

#### NURSERY-FINISHING

Input		BASE	CHANGE	Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284	
Feeds Consumed		6,554,572.50	7,102,347.49	
Weaning Weight		7.5	7.5	
	· · · · ·			
Efficiency				
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	5.00%	
Number of plgs Marketd		24,970	24,970	
Market Weight		105	113.78	
ADG		0.609	0.664	9.00%
FCR		2.5	2.500	
Market age		160	160.000	
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.88	0.88	
Total kilos sold		2,621,829	2,840,939	
Cost	per kg***			
## Feed Cost (USD)	2.2	5,768,023.80	6,250,065.79	
## Labor Cost (USD)	0.08	209,746.32	227,275.12	
## Genetic Cost (USD)	0.06	157,309.74	170,456.34	
## Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.12	314,619.48	340,912.68	
## Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.52	1,363,351.08	1,477,288.28	
Total Cost (USD)		7,813,050.42	8,465,998.21	
Sales				
ASP/kg (USD)		3.19	3.19	
Total sales (USD)		8,363,634.51	9,062,595.39	
Net Income				
		550,584.09	596,597.19	46,013.10
				1.04
			Per pig marketed \$	1.84



# Field Data

### Soon to be published Internal Data

KR

6 batches; vaccinated on different time points Batch 1-3 @ 3week of age; Batch 4 @ 6 weeks of age; Batch 5 and 6 @ 7 weeks of age

Total of 27-30 heads per batch with 10 heads control

Weight recorded at start and at 24 weeks of age

Internal Data, MSD Animal Health, South Korea



Batch 1 – 21 grams adwg difference from control Batch 2 - 40 grams adwg difference from control Batch 3 – 70 grams adwg difference from control Batch 4 – 27 grams adwg difference from control





# Economic Benefit – KR Case

nput		BASE	CHANGE	Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284	
Feeds Consumed		6,554,572.50	6,757,249.25	
Weaning Weight		7.5	7.5	
fficiency				
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	5.00%	
Number of plgs Marketd		24,970	24,970	
Market Weight		105	108.25	
ADG		0.609	0.630	3.339
FCR		2.5	2.500	
Market age		160	160.000	
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.848	0.85	
Total kilos sold		2,621,829	2,702,900	
ost	per kg***			
# Feed Cost (USD)	2.12	5,558,277.48	5,730,147.36	
# Labor Cost (USD)	0.25	655,457.25	675,724.92	
# Genetic Cost (USD)	0.13	340,837.77	351,376.96	
# Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.28	734,112.12	756,811.92	
# Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.74	1,940,153.46	2,000,145.78	
Total Cost (USD)		9,228,838.08	9,514,206.94	
ales				
ASP/kg (USD)		3.96	3.96	
Total sales (USD)		10,382,442.84	10,703,482.80	
let Income				
		1,153,604.76	1,189,275.87	35,671.11

#### NURSERY-FINISHING

Input		BASE	CHANGE	Encode
Pigs Weaned		26,284	26,284	
Feeds Consumed		6,554,572.50	7,279,461.40	
Weaning Weight		7.5	7.5	
Efficiency				
Wean to Market Mortality		5.00%	5.00%	
Number of plgs Marketd		24,970	24,970	
Market Weight		105	116.61	
ADG		0.609	0.682	11.91%
FCR		2.5	2.500	
Market age		160	160.000	
Composite Feed Cost/kg (USD)		0.848	0.85	
Total kilos sold		2,621,829	2,911,785	
Cost	per kg***			
## Feed Cost (USD)	2.12	5,558,277.48	6,172,983.27	
## Labor Cost (USD)	0.25	655,457.25	727,946.14	
## Genetic Cost (USD)	0.13	340,837.77	378,531.99	
## Vaccine/Medicine Cost (USD)	0.28	734,112.12	815,299.68	
## Overhead/Utility Cost (USD)	0.74	1,940,153.46	2,154,720.57	
Total Cost (USD)		9,228,838.08	10,249,481.65	
Sales				
A 60 (line (1160)		2.65	0.00	
ASP/Kg (USD)		3.96	3.96	
Total sales (USD)		10,382,442.84	11,530,000.86	
Net Income			4 204 405 24	407 506 55
		1,153,604.76	1,281,185.21	127,580.45

Per pig marketed \$ 5.11

\*\*\*Based on 2022 MSD Global Benchmarking by Holtkamp (Korea)

\*\*\*Based on 2022 MSD Global Benchmarking by Holtkamp (Korea)



## Take home message

- Every kilo counts
- Ileitis impacts your profitability without you knowing "Silent Thief"
- Porcilis Lawsonia is a tool to help improve KPI (ADG, Mortality, FCR) and can help boost farm profitability



## THANK YOU





